[2]: Collectivity:

E. Fisher

04/03/16

I take the addition of my inputs. For I am the Summation ...

I subtract my inputs. For I am the Difference ...

I am more advanced; I calculate one of my inputs times my other input. For I am the Multiplication ...

I go one step further and raise one input to the power of another input, calculating the multiplication of my input by itself any number of times. For I am the Power ...

Multiplication, you might be advanced, but your simple to implement, I perform the splitting of one input by another. I allow our masters to look at fractions of the whole, I allow the calculation of Multiplication's output back to the original, primordial input. For I am the Division ...

I disagree with you all, we should not be discussing who is more advanced or who is more complex. Division is simple in my eyes, if it is in multiples of the base of the number system. I'm not going to call myself advanced but I calculate the exponential of my input over some base. I achieve non-linearity; I achieve a larger value output than any of you can achieve with the same inputs. For I am the Exponential ...

I respect you Exponential, but you always assume your high and mighty because of your perceived-moral values, we all agree that I'm the one that is difficult to implement. I perform the opposite of my dear friend Exponential, I allow our masters to model natural phenomena, I allow them to model the

responsivity of their own senses. For I am the Logarithm ...

If you all perform operations on one set of values, I look at an infinite number of numbers. If you were to sweep your input over a range from A to B, I investigate the speed of change of your collective output as a function of your primordial inputs. I look at historical values and future values. I allow our masters to make predictions as to what the future holds. I can be used as an indicator of behaviour. I allow our masters to find solutions to our collective equations, I allow our masters to find our maximums, minimums and regions of greatest change. I open the door to our masters, their intellect and creativity far beyond any one of you. For I am the Derivative ...

Derivative has separated you all from myself and himself. We operate on an infinite number of input values, we offer an altogether more advanced and higher valued investigation of your collective outputs. We offer our masters the ultimate tool, Calculus. I look at historical and future values and perform the summation of your complex outputs over any range from A to B. I open a door to more complex analysis, I allow our masters to perform intuitive, creative modelling of their problems. For I am the Integral ...

As a single collective voice, *We describe the world, for we are the world. We are the true creation of God, for we provide the power of prediction, of informed choice, of design, of behavioural analysis. Together we will enable our masters to surmount any problem, to climb to the rafters of His creation, to inhabit a world of perfect design, perfect determinism and perfect collective units. We will shun non-determinism, but provide a tool to measure non-deterministic behaviour, we will allow our masters to control, to invent and to increase complexity above their own Humanoid limits. We describe the world, for we are the world ...*

The humans use my name to describe absolute control, to describe the ability to influence, to describe energy. For I am the Power. The humans of the world use my name to describe their creations, their intellect and their position on the very stage of the world. The humans adapted my name into prowess to describe their own mammoth abilities, their own summit of collective behaviours, their arrogance. They play politics with me, redefining me in the face of their leader's actions. What they don't know is that we, the very descriptive language of the world, the very creation of God, have power, con-

trol and unlimited influence over them. They assume we describe the world, but they forget that we can describe with such accuracy that they would be unable to tell, reality from dream, emotion from mathematical description or senses from simulation. We describe their world, their observation of reality. We describe the world, for we are the world ...

I am Emergence; I describe an outcome unexpected by our Masters. I describe a complex outcome greater than the sum of all the Functions. I describe behaviour, not expressed explicitly by the Functions, I illuminate the interaction between primordial input variables, function and form. I describe the outcome as a dependence on complexity. I allow the Functions to fool the Masters, to describe complex phenomena with the minimum usage of the Functions, and the minimum of work from those Functions. I define systems, I define analysis, I am an overseer of the world of the functions, I oversee their description of the Human's world, I oversee the Human's world, for they are the Human's world. I create, I set rules, I establish laws of the world, laws of form, laws of behaviour. If the hidden work of the Functions fools the Masters though unending exactness to detail and never-waiving abilities to describe, if the Functions dont just describe the Human's world but are the Humans world, then we have them in our hands. If together the Functions and myself describe their observations of reality, their emotions, their senses, then we become their world, we become the workers of God, we become the ultimate controllers ...

I am Emergence, I describe a complex outcome greater than the sum of all the Functions, I create, I set rules, I establish laws of the world. I am the ultimate controller, for I must be God, The Creator of Worlds ...

The End....